EDIT: As there seems to be confusion over this - ***no, TT and I did not begin to date until we were 18 and 20 years old****.*

EDIT 2: I am not calling myself a victim in any of the scenarios described in this document - simply a victim of the act of having been "pedo jacketed", as is detailed in this document.

Hi, here's my final response on the matter before I (hopefully) cease to discuss it completely.

This will largely address the "grooming" allegations and the "art thief" allegations.

First of all, regarding the state of the first response; I wanted to address things as flatly as possible and cleanly provide important additional context to disband the most egregious of the allegations of The Tickler's document. I do not blame TT for anything that happened, and did not set out to "victim blame". The intent was to provide a clearer picture of the relationship as a whole, apologize to those I had wronged, and that is all.

Secondly, I'm going to begin by addressing the most egregious (and false) allegation made against me.

"Grooming" Allegations

While it was very, very stupid of me to dump my gender dysphoria-centric trauma on somebody I had just met, I'd like to state the following as clearly as possible.

I did not groom TT. I am a victim of "pedo jacketing".

It is exhausting and extremely distressing that I have to state this yet again. There is a very stark difference between "textbook grooming" and the situation that occurred between TT and I, and I would like to demonstrate that this is the case below.

To summarise: our relationship/friendship was unstable and codependent. TT was able to move on from this before I was. I had absolutely **zero** intention of dating TT until she suggested the idea during our first IRL meet, at which point I **still** found the idea uncomfortable at first. Any "sexual" conversations described in the original document reference non-sexual conversations that stayed strictly within the confines of discussing body dysphoria and dysmorphia - something I, again, should not have been discussing with somebody I had just met - however, these conversations were absolutely never "sexual" in nature. I never, ever, indicated to TT or wanted anything explicitly sexual or romantic from her until after we began dating. It is a disgusting misframing of events to imply that this was anything even close to grooming and a visceral slap in the face to actual, legitimate grooming cases.

This is not a case of grooming. This is a case of my 18 year old self getting into an extremely codependent friendship with somebody a couple years younger than myself at an extremely

traumatic point of my life whom I confided in regarding my gender dysphoria. I will reiterate - there was **never** any intent to date or groom TT. I was initially uncomfortable with dating her. The conversations we had were **purely** in reference to my gender dysphoria and nothing more. Both of the "incidents" brought up in the original document happened while we were 18 and 16, and never once occurred again outside of the times stated in the original document.

Regarding the “incident” in which I “imagined myself” as TT - I must clarify, yet again, that this was **not** me “jerking off to a minor”. This was me imagining myself as female, feeling gender euphoric from that, and on **one occasion** finding my mind wandering and thinking about my friend. I stopped immediately afterwards. To clarify absolutely plainly, **we were 18 and 16 years old at the time. We were both teenagers.**

This is an extremely specific and nuanced yet extremely important distinction to make. I was not attracted to her at this time in **any way**. She was of similar age to me, and somebody I was envious of regarding her gender. This was clearly unhealthy of me, which is why I came in confidence to two friends who at the time seemed understanding, one of which admitting to having committed similar actions in the past. These people are both still active in TT’s friend circle, and contributed to both documents. *I am not saying that the friend that did something similar should be put under scrutiny, but I believe it to be extremely hypocritical that this person was not treated by TT’s friend circle in the same manner as I am here.*

The Discord conversations that were had between TT and I were had between an 18 and a 16 year old. This is not an egregiously abnormal age gap between partners, let alone close friends. It should not have to be said that, no, obviously, I have zero interest in/would not form a friendship or relationship of any kind with somebody nearly this young now, at age 23.

Something I feel is important to note is that TT's boyfriend, at the time of us first meeting, **was my age**. There was **never, ever any worry or claim of grooming in that relationship**, from myself, from TT, or any of our friends at the time, despite how odd I found it that they had begun dating at 16 and 14 a couple of years prior. The group of people aware of TT's relationship with her ex-boyfriend are the same group of people that have come together with TT to create the original document regarding TT's relationship with me, claiming "grooming".

As a bonus, here are friends of TT, in her server, comparing this situation to another situation excusing inappropriate interactions between a 16 year old and a 13 year old. These are the same people claiming the interactions that took place between myself and TT, at 18 and 16, as “grooming”.


[redacted] 08/03/2025 9:27 PM
Ya absolutely
Idrk the whole UNRELATED thing bc it was so long ago but apparently that was actually fake? From what I saw?
[redacted] 08/03/2025 9:30 PM
it was real but she was like
16.
she was just really fucking stupid.
[redacted] 08/03/2025 9:30 PM
Ahh



It would be fair, in my belief, to point out the hypocrisy here.

However, knowing that I had no intent to groom, target, nor do I have an attraction to minors does not change the potential trauma that was impacted on TT, nor does it change the feelings or potential interpretations of TT and the people around her, especially as there were multiple times I felt I should've backed out. I should've acted on my instinct to exit what I was quickly realizing was turning into a potentially toxic codependency. Unfortunately, at 18, I did not have the foresight to understand this fully, and many people, foremost TT, were extremely hurt as a result. While I firmly denounce the "groomer" interpretations - to a degree, I can understand.

As sickening as it is for me to even state this: without full context, and if there was **not** a two year age gap between TT and I, I understand how one could interpret a codependent relationship as "grooming", lest I fail to state with all my heart that this is definitely not what took place. I will state again - there was absolutely no intent or goal to groom TT, and no form of grooming is what took place.

-

An important point regarding this is the idea that "it doesn't matter if [Gomo] intended to groom someone or not, the fact is that [Gomo] did". Here's an example tweet, retweeted and endorsed by TT, that claims this exact point. For clarification's sake, this person was involved in contributing to the original document.


[redacted]
Bullshit dumbass. It doesn't matter if you intended to groom someone or not. The fact of the matter is you still did and need to properly own up to that fact rather than pretending it's an awful thing to claim.
X • 8/7/2025 3:12 AM

I cannot stress enough that the act of grooming somebody is a long-term action that is made with the *specific intent* to do so. **You cannot "unintentionally" groom somebody**. That is one of the most dangerous claims I think I have ever heard of in my life. That kind of wording sets situations up like these where I can state the plain fact that I did not groom my ex-girlfriend, and have somebody say "oh, you did, you just didn't know that you did." I should not have to explain how this is an extremely uninformed, dangerous and stupid assumption to make. Extremely worryingly, this is the mindset that the people attempting to frame me as a groomer seem to share.


[redacted] · 07/08/2025
The sheer fucking notion that "the minor reciprocated" is fucking insane by the way and I shouldn't even have to explain why. Sure some people will say the age gap wasn't too awful but the fact is that she was still a fucking adult doing this w/ a minor.

Another tweet from this individual claims that "some people will say that the age gap wasn't too awful but the fact is she was still a fucking adult doing this w/ a minor". It's concerning to me that there's a constant need to punctuate what happened as "between an adult and a minor". This is technically correct, of course. But that terminology attempts to create some kind of "gotcha", while disregarding the details because "between an adult and a minor" sounds more damning. It would still be "a fucking adult doing this w/ a minor" if we were 18 and 17. It concerns me that this individual, involved in defending TT in the original document, does not seem to not have a full grasp on what grooming actually needs to entail in order to be grooming.

This individual, that seems legitimately confused about the definition of grooming, matched with the lack of confidence at the point in TT's original document in which she "thinks" that I groomed her, indicates to me that these people wanted a label to slap on to the behavior that occurred and figured that "groomer" was a neat and vague enough term to encapsulate that, despite how serious and careful of a use that word should be handled with - even though, as I will reiterate

again - what took place was not actual grooming, nor did I ever have any kind of attraction to TT until after we began dating.

I will absolutely not deny that there was harm done through this relationship. I understand that there was much unintentional hurt put on to TT, and for that I am still extremely sorry and take full responsibility for. However, **grooming requires intent**. I cannot overstate how much there was **zero** intent to groom or harm TT in ANY regard. This is clearly evident in the screenshots previously provided in both TT's document and my original response - this is not something I would ever even *dream* of doing to a person, and was **never** my goal, or intent, nor did the thought ever cross my mind.

I have spoken to real victims of grooming, and to other transgender people about this situation. I have been affirmed by, quite literally, all of these people, who have taken the time to read both TT's original document, my original response document and TT and friends' response document in detail, that what took place here was not grooming, but rather "pedo jacketing".

Verb [edit]

pedojacket (*third-person singular simple present* **pedojackets**, *present participle* **pedojacketing**, *simple past and past participle* **pedojacketed**)

1. To portray someone as a pedophile by spreading rumors, manufacturing evidence, etc. [quotations ▼]

I would also like to clarify that in my efforts to point out that this is, in fact, a toxic relationship being conflated as "grooming", and not actual grooming, I am *not* therefore claiming that there is equal blame to be had here, or that I blame TT for any of my own actions. This is a stressfully complex situation where these kinds of clarifications must be made.

-

I would also like to bring up a point I wish I'd brought up in my original response.

On July 25th, I received a sketchy email from "The Tickler" - a name I did not recognize at the time. This email regarded the desire of an ex-channel artist of mine, [REDACTED], to have a select handful of artworks removed from my platforms, threatening "further action" if I did not comply. Taking into account the fact that, despite sending further emails, that "[The Tickler's] email is not monitored", I had absolutely no way to verify the legitimacy of this email, so I blocked it and moved on. In hindsight, this was shortsighted of me.

Important: Action required regarding your YouTube Channel. Inbox ×

The Tickler <[redacted]@gmail.com> 1:01 AM (1 hour ago)

to me

We are emailing to inform you that [REDACTED] - an artist who contributed both paid and unpaid work to your YouTube channel(s) - is **no longer comfortable with the use of their artwork on your social media platforms** (YouTube, X, etc).

You may continue to own licensing and ownership to any artwork that was paid for as a commission. Appended to this email are pieces which remain unaffected, and may continue to be used.

Many of your videos and merchandise items contain artwork or other assets that were not commissioned, nor was the artist ever compensated for. This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed.

We ask you remove any artwork of theirs, or any content associated with them, that is not outlined in the "Paid Work" section, also attached.



--

Transcript: *We are emailing to inform you that **[REDACTED]** - an artist who contributed both paid and unpaid work to your YouTube channel(s) - is **no longer comfortable with the use of their artwork on your social media platforms** (YouTube, X, etc).*

***You may continue to own licensing and ownership to any artwork that was paid for as a commission.** Appended to this email are pieces which remain unaffected, and may continue to be used.*

Many of your videos and merchandise items contain artwork or other assets that were not commissioned, nor was the artist ever compensated for. This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed.

We ask you remove any artwork of theirs, or any content associated with them, that is not outlined in the "Paid Work" section, also attached.

--



This artist no longer wants to be associated with you, your channel, or your brand. Please comply, or we will take further action.

This email address will not be monitored, and you will not receive a response if you attempt to reply.

Reply Forward

Transcript: ***This artist no longer wants to be associated with you, your channel, or your brand. Please comply, or we will take further action.***

This email address will not be monitored, and you will not receive a response if you attempt to reply.

Unbeknownst to me, I was sent two more nearly identical threatening emails, one with a meme joking about the situation.

We are emailing to inform you that [REDACTED] - an artist who contributed both paid and unpaid work to your YouTube channel(s) - is **no longer comfortable with the use of their artwork on your social media platforms** (YouTube, X, etc).

You may continue to own licensing and ownership to any artwork that was paid for as a commission. Appended to this email are pieces which remain unaffected, and may continue to be used.

Many of your videos and merchandise items contain artwork or other assets that were not commissioned, nor was the artist ever compensated for. This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed. **There was never any kind of signed agreement that you own this art indefinitely.**

We ask you remove any artwork of theirs, or any content associated with them, that is not outlined in the "Paid Work" section, also attached.

This is your final warning. If the offending pieces are not removed or changed within 2 weeks, this matter will become public.

image.png
image.png

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ Add all to Drive

Second email.

image0.png

We are emailing to inform you that [REDACTED] - an artist who contributed both paid and unpaid work to your YouTube channel(s) - is **no longer comfortable with the use of their artwork on your social media platforms** (YouTube, X, etc).

You may continue to own licensing and ownership to any artwork that was paid for as a commission. Appended to this email are pieces which remain unaffected, and may continue to be used.

Many of your videos and merchandise items contain artwork or other assets that were not commissioned, nor was the artist ever compensated for. This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed. **There was never any kind of signed agreement that you own this art indefinitely.**

We ask you remove any artwork of theirs, or any content associated with them, that is not outlined in the "Paid Work" section, also attached.

This is your final warning, and only chance to resolve this privately. If the offending pieces are not removed or changed within 1 week, this matter will become public.

unnamed.png
unnamed.png

We hope you will make the right decision.

3 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ Add all to Drive



Third email.



Attached image of the third email.

I genuinely believe now that, had I obliged, there would have been no attempt to create a document about me in any regard. I seriously have to wonder, if there were sincere allegations of grooming against me that TT had, that the release of those allegations would not hinge on whether or not I decided to remove a select handful of artworks from my platforms. Regardless, those artworks have now been removed and/or changed/subject to change. This includes all artworks produced by [REDACTED], regardless of whether or not they were paid for. I will discuss this point further and in more detail when this document moves on to the "art thief" allegations.

While there is legitimate reason for TT and others to be extremely upset at me for my past behavior - and while the relationship was extremely toxic - reframing that close friendship/relationship as a serious and vile crime is, quite frankly, fucking inexcusable, as much as I can understand the hurt inflicted. This is a conclusion I believe TT has either been pushed into believing by the people around her, or that TT believes purely as a response to interpreting her share of the hurt over our relationship.


"THE TICKLER" 08/09/2025 4:03 PM
can she deactivate everything already
part of me is worried making this doc was a terrible thing :/
[redacted] 08/09/2025 4:10 PM
why
/gen
"THE TICKLER" 08/09/2025 4:36 PM
idk
people are saying I'm pedo jacketing her
what if I'm wrong in thinking she groomed me

TT's original document, TT's response to my "abysmal dogshit" response document and the overreaction to my passive decision to remove the Twitter link to my response document all carry with them a messy and unfocused message that dawdles between a passive-yet-stern concern for my mental wellbeing and all-caps outbursts screaming at me to "deactivate all of my accounts" and to "leave the internet forever". In the original document - in the same breath as holding concern for my mental wellbeing - screenshots are annotated with incredibly juvenile and unnecessary descriptions, with TT's response document dropping the pretense that these people "want me to get better" completely, full of name-calling and taunting. TT and friends also seemed soon after to be taking pleasure in bullying me over the mental breakdown I had in May. I'm not sure which to believe - if they're truly concerned for my wellbeing, or if they want to jeer at it.


08/04/2025 5:30 AM
daggz has seen the poop tweet
LOL
the wider public is becoming aware of shitmotion
"THE TICKLER" 08/06/2025 10:20 PM
picking her butt
08/06/2025 10:27 PM
diggin in her butt#
"THE TICKLER" 08/03/2025 6:57 PM
Jodie has been outed as.... A pooptoucher.....

Regarding my behavior in my (now defunct) 18+ public Discord server during this period, I would still like to apologize for freaking people out over. Clearly, I was not in my right mind. I'd had a history of erratic behaviors in the server prior and did myself no favors by keeping it going as long as I did. It's now been permanently shut down, with no plans to reinstate it.

-

I will reiterate - I do not want to claim that I have not caused hurt to others. I absolutely have, and I am so sincerely sorry to everybody that's been affected over the years. As I stated in my original document, my mental health situation has been improving tenfold in these past few months, and I've been making severe strides to do better. What is not okay, however, is cherrypicking examples of interactions between a severely ill 18 year old experiencing severe gender dysphoria and her 16 year old friend and claiming that the intent was **ever** "grooming".

Without the full context - again - I can completely empathise with the worry. I cannot deny that speaking so vulnerably about my issues to somebody I barely knew was not something I should have engaged in. But, the original document implying that I am "inappropriate around minors", as if I have continuously, and specifically, interacted inappropriately with minors since my friendship with TT as a 16 year while I myself was 18, is an absolutely vile misframing of my character and paints an extremely misleading picture of myself.

I have had people successfully dox my full legal deadname and several of my previous home addresses as a result of all of this. I've had people successfully find and attempt to enter my current residence at four in the morning. Falsely alleging that I am a groomer to hundreds of thousands of people on the internet is one thing, but I now feel like my life is in legitimate danger as a result, least of all I possibly be recognized out in public by somebody that legitimately believes in these allegations.

"Art Thief" Allegations

I was made aware of a tweet [REDACTED] had made regarding the artworks brought up in the emails from TT, as well as other artworks not mentioned in the emails.

> gomotion didn't pay me for the 3d backgrounds in her videos, any of my merch designs, and most of the art of mine featured on her channel. lol. she continues to use them after being told to stop

Here are examples of artworks that I had paid [REDACTED] for, outlined in emails from TT.


NOW'S YOUR CHANCE TO BE A [REMIX]
NOW'S YOUR CHANCE TO BE A (deltarune) - Gomo Remix
Twisting the Form
The History of FNaF's Hoaxes and Theories (Full Series)
Tattletail - gomotion
Pac-Man's Haunted ROM (Pacman...) - gomotion
Paid Work

Here are examples of artworks that I had not paid [REDACTED] for, outlined in emails from TT.



The claim that I "didn't pay" [REDACTED] for the items she listed in her tweet, while technically correct, is an intentionally and blatantly deceptive way to word the situation. [REDACTED] and I were close friends during these times, in which she voluntarily created free artworks for me that I was free to use in my merch designs and channel content. This was the case of a friend choosing to produce artworks for me for free, despite the several times I made it clear that I'd be more than comfortable paying for artworks (as I always have been). These instances are exemplified above in the 'Unpaid Work' image. I was not demanding free work, nor was I ever expecting free work. [REDACTED], of her own volition, made the decision to create artworks for me, for free. This is not a case of a client (myself) demanding free work from an artist ([REDACTED]) - this is a case of two close friends, one of which - again, of her own volition - decided to create artworks for me to use in my content.

Even by the emails' own words, "This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed". That is because, at the time, it was acceptable, and there were absolutely no issues that arose from this, and only now has [REDACTED] decided to retract the permissions.

This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed.

Transcript: ***This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed.***

"She continues to use them after being told to stop", is in reference to what I assume to be the threatening emails I was sent. From the date I had begun receiving these emails (July 25th) - I had not "used" any artwork, in any capacity, that [REDACTED] had produced for me. Unless

you want to count the passive action of not removing certain pieces of artwork from my channel (in which case, I cannot fathom would be counted as "use"), no, I did not "continue to use" any artworks after being "told to stop", nor produce any new content featuring her work. While merchandise featuring [REDACTED]'s work was still available on my merch store for this short time, I made zero sales during this period. [REDACTED]'s statement here is quite literally incorrect.

To elaborate; the emails I received were not verifiable and I was told explicitly that "This email address will not be monitored, and you will not receive a response if you attempt to reply". I was essentially threatened by an anonymous entity into blindly taking action and potentially have to take down large portions of my own work (that were "associated" with [REDACTED]'s work, such as my *THE WORLD REVOLVING Remix* music video, if that is in fact what the email meant. I didn't know if she wanted the entire video taken down - *that we **both** contributed equal amounts of work to regarding the visuals, let alone the audio that I fully produced myself* - or just the thumbnail. I never received any clarification).

To reiterate and clarify: I had no idea if I was being asked to just remove video thumbnails, or the videos themselves, and I was confused on the specifics of the entire situation - if the threat was even real. Again, this was shortsighted of me.

This is not to claim that, in hindsight, it was/was not morally/legally correct to delay removal of the artworks, had I known the emails were legitimate at the time - I am just clarifying the reality of the situation, and the details that did occur. Regardless, as stated, these artworks, and more that were not asked for, have been removed and are subject to change.

It feels especially necessary for me to point out these kinds of contradictions as I believe it helps paint a better picture of the fact that TT and co. have been blatantly and intentionally stretching the truth at almost every turn regarding the most egregious of the allegations made against me - the "grooming" claim, foremost - and, like several of the points brought up against me, severely lack context, to make me look worse than I actually am. It is a gross capitalization of a complex situation.

Apparently, however, an ex-friend/s that I had trusted to stay in my private Discord server had been co-operating with TT during this time, unbeknownst to me. I had discussed the legality/morality of the situation in my server, and when I went to check the emails I had missed as a result of having blocked "The Tickler"'s email, suddenly, they stated that "There was never any kind of signed agreement that you own this art indefinitely".


Many of your videos and merchandise items contain artwork or other assets that were not commissioned, nor was the artist ever compensated for. This may have previously been acceptable, but circumstances have since changed. **There was never any kind of signed agreement that you own this art indefinitely.**

Transcript: *There was never any kind of signed agreement that you own this art indefinitely.*

This is one of the points I brought up in my private Discord server, now turned up in an email from TT.

This ex-friend/s in my server clearly had full knowledge of the situation, was reading through my conversations with people trying to determine what to do, and could have easily come to me personally to explain and confirm the details and legitimacy of the threat. Instead, they chose to comply with TT's vague threats against me for reasons I can't quite understand and communicate my conversations to them. They could have helped to explain these things, but instead intentionally played into this vague threat and stayed silent.

The presence of a "mole" in my server, throughout this time, was also plainly confirmed in TT's Discord server.


08/03/2025 3:45 PM
Btw she knows there's a mole in the mutual server
08/03/2025 3:45 PM
Also most relationships don't comprise of someone waiting for the other to turn 18 so they can date them
Btw she knows there's a mole in the mutual server
08/03/2025 3:46 PM
Oh fuck
Btw she knows there's a mole in the mutual server
08/03/2025 3:55 PM
i figured she had an idea cause the server went silent last night

To respond to the message caught in the middle of the screenshot; I will confirm yet again, that, no, I was never “waiting for [TT] to turn 18 so [I] [could] date [her]”. As stated in my original response, I was uncomfortable with dating TT at first.

As is obvious, I also had a "mole" inside TT's Discord server, in order to help fetch these screenshots.

Several more friends of TT and I at the time have previously created artworks for me to sell and use, for free, in my merch store. These designs have also been taken down, in fear that I may be accused of "stealing" designs from these people too, despite the very same arrangements that these artworks were all created with the intent for me to use, for free, at that time.

I have, in the past, been late with paying a select couple of artists for works - however, these artists were **always** compensated eventually, and for that I am sorry. I will make it clear now,

however - I have **never** "stolen" commissioned artwork from an artist, nor have I ever refused to pay for work I have commissioned, nor would I dream of doing this.

In regards to the art commissions that were paid for in my Discord server - I genuinely apologize for failing to deliver on these, that's entirely my fault. I've already refunded a couple of people, but I am aware a few more individuals were owed art and are still in need of compensation - to those I still owe, please send me an email at commrefunds@proton.me, provide the PayPal email you used when commissioning me, and you will be fully compensated.

Conclusion

I want to sincerely thank those who have still stuck with me through this time, and those that have reached out in support. It comforts me to know that there are individuals who agree and understand that no grooming took place.

I completely understand that this final response will not satisfy everyone. I completely understand that I've still acted inexcusably in the ways that I have hurt others in the past. I do, however, hope that this response can bring comfort to those looking for some kind of “resolution” to all of this, as far as my side of things go.

If you feel so inclined, please feel free to read through TT's original letter, my initial response and TT's response, in addition to this one, in order to come to your own conclusions. Unfortunately, many people disregard the need to form their own opinions on matters like these and choose to echo the easily-packaged one-word "groomer" to summate the situation, when in reality, not only is it entirely incorrect, but the full situation is extremely complex, especially as there are now four documents (including this one) for people to read through. So, I will end this off with an easily-packaged conclusion of my own, that I say in complete earnest, honestly, and good faith:

I did not groom TT, and I am, in fact, being "pedo jacketed". TT and I had a severely codependent and toxic friendship-turned-relationship, in which I struggled vehemently with gender dysphoria and unfairly put that stress on to her. To her, I am still so sorry for that.

I am still receiving the appropriate support for my gender dysphoria and internalized transphobia, and have been working tirelessly on myself for months now. I hope you all can understand that, at this point in time, I am the best version of myself I’ve ever been, and I only want to improve.